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#### Abstract

A simple and very efficient method for the preparation of 2-(diethoxyphosphonyl)glycine and its N -methyl analog as the free acids is described and their conversion to the corresponding t-butyl esters demonstrated.


During the course of developing novel class of heterocycle-peptide conjugates and unique functionalized peptides as protease inhibitors ${ }^{1}$, we required an efficient scheme for differential and selective protection of the carboxyl in 2-(diethoxyphosphonyl)glycine (1, $\mathrm{R}=$ Protecting group) and its N -alkyl derivatives (as 2). Originally introduced by Ratcliffe and Christensen ${ }^{2}$ as the critical building block in the synthesis of ( $\pm$ ) cephalothin, derivatives of 1 have been extensively employed in the construction of a large variety of $\beta$-lactam derivatives ${ }^{3-5}, \alpha$ -dehydro- $\alpha$-amino acids and their oligopeptides ${ }^{6}$ and also are the subject of numerous patents. ${ }^{7}$
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The preparation of 1 has been accomplished by direct amination of 2 -(diethoxyphosphonyl) acetates ${ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$, Et or $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$; route a) or by Michaelis - Arbuzov reaction of N protected $\alpha$-halo glycine esters ${ }^{9}$ (5, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ or Et, route b ). However, in both
methods, the choice of carboxyl protection is restricted to the starting esters employed (route a) or limited to the stability of the protecting group to the preparative procedure (route $\mathbf{b}$ ). The t butyl group offers the best differential and orthogonal protection of the carboxyl functionality in peptide synthesis but, the only reported method ${ }^{10}$ for the preparation of such esters ( $1, R=t-B u$ ) is unsuitable on large scale due to the use of unstable and hazardous reagents coupled with low yields. The free acid $4(R=H)$ should make the ideal starting material for the preparation of any desired ester of 1 . Although selective hydrolysis of the esters (as 4 prepared through either method) should furnish the free acid, no such preparations have been reported so far. Herein, we report a simple method for the preparation of $4(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ and, for the first time, the N -methyl derivatives, 2 ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ or t - Bu ).

## Scheme 1
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ifi d: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

Reagents:
$i$; ether, 16 h (95\%); ii: $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ (98\%); iii: $\mathrm{MeI} / \mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DME} / 0 \rightarrow 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ (98\%);
iv: $\mathrm{PCl}_{5} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{3} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%) ; v: 2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ piperidine/rt, 6 h (100\%);
vi: i-butylene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (95\%); vii: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}_{2}(42 \mathrm{psi}) / \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{HCl}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ (98\%)

## Scheme 2



N -Benzyloxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-hydroxy glycine (6a) prepared from benzyl carbamate and glyoxylic acid was converted to methyl N-benzyloxycarbonyl-2-(diethoxyphosphonyl)glycinate (7a) by modification of the published procedure ${ }^{11}$ in over $88 \%$ yield (Scheme 1). All attempts to selectively deprotect the carboxyl group in 7a under a variety of basic and neutral conditions ( $\mathrm{LiOH} / \mathrm{THF}^{12}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}^{13}, \mathrm{EtSH} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}, \mathrm{LiI} / \mathrm{Py}^{14}$ etc.) resulted in inseparable reaction mixtures. Stronger acidic conditions also resulted in total decomposition of the ester. However, 2 M piperidine in degassed water (5-7 eq.) effected quantitative hydrolysis of the methyl ester $7 a^{15}$ in 6 h at room temperature. The free acid $7 \mathrm{~b}^{16}$ was a stable solid with low solubility in most organic solvents at room temperature and could be converted to any desired carboxyl
derivative as demanded by the synthetic strategy employed. Thus, 7b was converted to the t butyl ester $\mathbf{7 c}{ }^{16}$ in over $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ isolated yield.

N-Alkylation of base-sensitive amines is often accomplished through the reduction of the corresponding Schiffs bases ${ }^{17}$ but, reduction of 9 ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) prepared from 8 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) and benzaldehyde (Scheme 2) gave an intractable mixture and was not investigated further. However, methyl N-benzyloxycarbonyl $\alpha$-methoxy glycinate (6b) could be methylated directly with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{NaH}^{18}$ to furnish 6d in quantitative yield. Under these conditions, 6a decomposed to benzyl carbamate and other unidentified products while 7a underwent further methylation on the $\alpha$-carbon. The methyl ester $7 \mathrm{~d}^{16}$ (prepared from 6 d ) was saponified with $\mathbf{2 M}$ piperidine to $7 \mathrm{e}^{16}$ and further transformed to the t-butyl ester $7 \mathrm{ff}^{16}$ (as before) in quantitative yield. Hydrogenolysis of the esters 7a, 7c, 7d and 7f gave the corresponding free amines 2a-d ${ }^{16}$ in quantitative yield. This simple yet very efficient preparation of the free acids 7 b and 7 e offers ready access to any desired carboxyl derivative of this important building block in the synthesis of $\beta$-lactams, novel heterocycles, $\alpha$-dehydro- $\alpha$-amino acids and their oligopeptides.
A coment on the NMR spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of the diethoxyphosphonates $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}$ indicate that the two ethyl groups in the diethoxyphosphonyl moiety are non-equivalent, a fact further confirmed by molecular modelling studies. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spin-coupling further contributes to the complexity of the NMR spectra of these compounds. Additionally, the Nmethyl derivatives 6d and 7d -f exist as 3:1 mixtures of torsional isomers.
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2b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.27$ and 1.29 (each $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.79 (bs, 2 H ), 3.75 (d, $\mathrm{J}=19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 15.79,27.21,53.5$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=138 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 62.29, 81.67 and 167.74: IR (film) 3400,1735 and $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 267$.
2c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.26$ and 1.28 (each $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.28(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36$ (s, 3 H ), $3.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 15.86, 35.68, 52.1, 61.9 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=147 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $63.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and 169.27 ; $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 239 2d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.29$ and 1.31 (each $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.47 (s, 9 H ), 1.97 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.4(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $16.14,27.67,35.84,62.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=147.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.92$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 82.23 and 168.0; IR (film) 3325,1730 and $1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\mathrm{M}_{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 281
7h: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.19$ and 1.20 (each $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09 and 4.15 (each $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.94 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=9.4,22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.12 ( $\mathrm{ABd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.13 (d, $\mathrm{J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) and $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.9$ and $15.93,52.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=150 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 64.14 and 64.38 (each $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $67.16,127.80-128.22,135.8,155.6$ and $167.54 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.4,23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11$ (ABd, J = $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.54,53.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=147 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.18,67.29,128.69-129.16,137.26,156.67$ and 168.29; IR (KBr disk) 1740, 1695 and $1240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 345$.
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